ILLUSTRATION. Contoso Manufacturing is a fictional company. Every change, name and number in this pack is invented to show what a pack looks like. It is not a client result.

RELEASEGUARD™ BY DYNAMICS EXPERT SOLUTIONS · ACCEPTANCE PACK

Contoso Manufacturing: what changed in your setup around Microsoft's October update, and what to decide before production

Environment: sandbox, company CTMF · **Before:** snapshot the day before the update · **After:** snapshot after the update reached the sandbox · **Prepared by:** your DES consultant, reviewed before it reached you

WHAT WE FOUND

13 setup differences between the two snapshots, in the areas we read. Nine are routine entries (grouped in the table near the end). Four are not: two in finance, one in purchasing, one in the warehouse.

WHY IT MATTERS

- Incoming customer payments could start matching to invoices automatically.
- Vendor bank-detail changes could lose their second-person approval.
- Invoices that should stop for review could pass at a looser price tolerance.
- Warehouse work could start releasing to the floor on its own.

WHAT IT HELPS YOU DECIDE

- Which of the four to keep, and which to reverse, before production.
- Who must sign each one off.
- Which are worth a process test before production.

13
differences between the two snapshots, in the areas we read

4
need an owner's decision before production

9
routine entries, listed so nothing is hidden

+1
older item, already like this before (not one of the 13)

Your consultant has sorted the thirteen by owner. Each owner reads only their own section and answers one question. The "why it could matter" lines are the consultant's reading of what a

setting could affect, not results the comparison observed.

Who made each change? A difference can come from the Microsoft update or from your own team in the same window; the pack catches either, which is the point. Your consultant goes through the four decision items with you against your change log on the review call.

Finance

2 DECISIONS

Finding 1 · Customer payment settings · **Changed** between snapshots

Automatic settlement turned on

Automatic settlement on payment posting	No	→	**Yes**

`E-01 · CustomerParameters, company CTMF · before: AutoSettlement = No · after: AutoSettlement = Yes`

WHY IT COULD MATTER (CONSULTANT'S READING)

Could change how incoming payments are matched to open invoices, and with it cash application, aging and the month-end close.

QUESTION FOR THE OWNER

Did anyone on the team change this, or did it arrive with the update? If it was not a decision, is it what you want in production?

Finding 2 · Vendor parameters · **Changed** between snapshots

Approval for vendor bank-detail changes is off

Require approval of vendor bank account changes	Yes	→	**No**

`E-02 · VendParameters, company CTMF · before: ApprovalRequired = Yes · after: ApprovalRequired = No`

WHY IT COULD MATTER (CONSULTANT'S READING)

Could mean a vendor's payment details can be changed without a second person reviewing them, which is a financial-control question for your auditors as well as your finance team.

QUESTION FOR THE OWNER

Was turning approval off intended? If not, who switches it back on, and who checks that no bank detail changed in the meantime?

Finance owner signs off: _____ Date: _____

Purchasing

1 DECISION

Finding 3 · Accounts payable matching policy · **Changed** between snapshots

The invoice price tolerance is wider

Invoice price tolerance	2%	→	**10%**

`E-03 · Matching policy, company CTMF · before: 2 · after: 10`

WHY IT COULD MATTER (CONSULTANT'S READING)

Could let vendor invoices that differ from the purchase order by up to 10% go through without stopping for review, where today they stop at 2%.

QUESTION FOR THE OWNER

Is 10% a decision someone made? If not, what should it be?

Purchasing owner signs off: _____ Date: _____

Warehouse and operations

1 DECISION

Finding 4 · Feature management · **Changed** between snapshots

Automatic work release is on

Feature: automatic work release	Off	→	**On**

`E-04 · Feature management · before: Off · after: On`

WHY IT COULD MATTER (CONSULTANT'S READING)

Could change when outbound warehouse work is created and released to the floor, and so how shifts are loaded.

QUESTION FOR THE OWNER

Is this meant to be on for your warehouses from the first day after the update? Does the shift lead know?

Noted for your owner · Warehouse parameters · **Pre-existing**: the same in the before snapshot

Company CTMF already differed from every other company on one parameter

Default receiving journal name	CTMF: RcvJrn-2	All other companies: RcvJrn

Not a change from this cycle. It is listed so nobody blames the update. It may be intentional.

QUESTION FOR THE OWNER

Is CTMF meant to be different?

Warehouse owner signs off: _____ Date: _____

Everything else that differs

9 ENTRIES IN 6 GROUPS

None needs a decision unless your owner says otherwise.

AREA	WHAT DIFFERS	OWNER
Sales tax codes	Code CA-RET renamed from "California State - Retail Prod" to "California State - Retail". Only the name differs.	Finance
Number sequences	Three new numbering entries (customer prepayment invoice reversal voucher, customer prepayment reversal invoice ID, split payment voucher). No sequence code assigned, so no document uses them yet.	IT
Units of measure	Two new conversion entries added between existing units. Nothing references them yet.	IT
Country and region list	One display label changed.	IT
Payment terms	The description of one term was reworded. The days and discount did not differ.	Finance
Delivery modes	One new delivery mode added with no carrier assigned.	Warehouse

IT owner signs off: _____ Date: _____

Where this pack stops

What the service does and does not do, every time. It shows what differs in your configured setup between two snapshots, in the areas we read, with the evidence for each line. Your consultant reads what each difference could mean and helps you establish whether it was intended. It does not show that your processes still work: a pack with few differences is not a clean bill of health. To test your critical processes, such as customer payment posting after Finding 1, add scenario execution. It is a separate, optional service and is not part of this pack.

What we could not read in this cycle. Three areas: security duties and fiscal calendars (our read pulls a limited number of rows from a table at a time, and these tables are larger), and material handling parameters (the read failed on both snapshots). These are limits of this cycle's read, not findings about your data, and they are not reported as unchanged. Your consultant can plan a deeper read for the next cycle; ask before production if security duties matter to you.

ReleaseGuard™ is a consultant-led service of Dynamics Expert Solutions, running on DES-Core™. Your consultant works through this pack with your owners on the review call, before production. Want a pack like this for your own environment? Talk with DES. Illustration, version 2, 5 November 2026.